

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1807-2018(O&M)
Date of decision-08.02.2019**

Pooja and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

Punjab State Power Corp. Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to award adequate compensation to the petitioners on account of death of Sh. Badal S/o Sh. Upal due to negligence of duty on the part of the respondents.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that at this stage, he would have no objection, if this petition is treated as representation and a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Sub Divisional Officer, PSPCL, Khanna, District Ludhiana to consider and decide the same expeditiously.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to

submit a copy of the present petition within two weeks from today to respondent No.2-Sub Divisional Officer, PSPCL, Khanna, District Ludhiana who shall treat the copy of present petition as representation and consider and decide the same within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

08.02.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No