

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4651-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.09.2019

C.S. Aggarwal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

D.K. Jain (deceased) thr. LRs and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Gorangg Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate for the respondents.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

By way of filing the civil revision, the petitioners crave for issuance of directions to the trial Court to decide Civil Suit No.1769 of 2019 as well as application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC filed by the petitioners in that case expeditiously.

Report from the trial Court was called, which has been received. It has been reported that the stay application in the matter cannot be disposed of as this Court in CR-4903-2019 and CR-4914-2019, vide order dated 22.08.2019 has stayed the proceedings in the case. It being so, the trial Court can certainly be not blamed for not deciding the application for grant of ad-interim injunction as well as making reasonable progress in the civil suit. Accordingly, no direction needs to be issued to the trial Court as sought for by the revisionists. Finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

04.09.2019

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No