

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(208)

CWP-24929-2014

Date of Decision: October 30, 2019

Kamla Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the mother is claiming the family pension under the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the dependents of deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 (in short '2006 Rules') in preference to the widow of the deceased employee i.e. respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 states that unfortunately, respondent No.4 has died on 23.07.2019 and according to his information, there are no legal heirs of respondent No.4, who would be entitled for the benefit under 2006 Rules after her death.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that after the death of respondent No.4, petitioner becomes entitled for certain benefits under 2006 Rules, which should be examined and appropriate order should be passed in that regard by the respondents.

Learned State counsel very fairly states that after the death of respondent No.4 in July, 2019, the case of the petitioner will be examined for the grant of benefits, if any, admissible to her under 2006 Rules and

appropriate order will be passed in this regard within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, petitioner does not want to press this writ petition any further.

It is made clear that the respondents will be bound by their statement.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed, at this stage.

October 30, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No