

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-20279-2019

Date of Decision: July 25, 2019

Kamaljit Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Narinder Pal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed claiming the medical reimbursement in respect of the treatment, which late husband of the petitioner, Dr. Jagjit Singh, who was working as Veterinary Officer, had taken before he unfortunately died on 23.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that a sum of Rs.15,50,073/- was incurred upon the treatment of her late husband and details of which have been given by the petitioner in paragraph 3 of the writ petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though more than two and half years have elapsed since the last bill was submitted but till today without any valid justification, all the bills, which were submitted for the medical reimbursement, are pending consideration with the respondents and no payment has been released to the petitioner. The prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to release the medical reimbursement in respect of the bills submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served

the respondents with a legal notice dated 02.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 02.05.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 02.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 25, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No