

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217)

CRM-M-31335-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Sohan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 24.07.2019, the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation as per the instructions received by learned State counsel from ASI Surjit Singh, though he submits that the father-in-law of the complainant has also made a statement before the police to the effect that he had lent his son-in-law Rs.2 lacs to give to the petitioner.

No bank statement having been disclosed from where the said money had come, **without making any comments on the actual merits of the case**, for or against the petitioner, with no further FIR stated to have been registered against the petitioner, the order dated 24.07.2019, admitting the petitioner to interim bail is made absolute, on the same terms and conditions.

The petition disposed of accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

18.09.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No