

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 23.07.2019****CWP No.20113 of 2019**

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab & Haryana High Court & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. In view of the order proposed to be passed, the reply on behalf of the respondents is not required for the disposal of this case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and I am inclined to pass an order calling upon the petitioner to join at his place of posting i.e. Sirsa in compliance of the Office Order dated 16.07.2019 (Annex P-5). Thereafter, he may represent to the authorities to reconsider his case in the light of all the averments made in the petition and others he may take in the representation. The respondents would look into the grievance/s of the petitioner and on consideration of his grounds including the ground of 'couple case', would make an order either affirming the transfer order or varying it as per the decision. The authorities may even consider transferring the wife of the petitioner to Sirsa to neutralize hardship, as I was told by Mr. Sharma during the course of hearing that the couple lived in rented accommodation at Nuh

and the two daughters, aged about 8 years and 6 years, are brought up by their grand-parents in District Sonapat. It will be appreciated if the representation is considered within 10 days of submission thereof.

With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

23.07.2019
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*