

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 20152 of 2019

Date of Decision:24.07.2019

Rupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

As per pleadings on record petitioner is 19 years old and is a student of 10+2. He claims himself to be a son of an Ex-Councilor of Dharamkot, District Moga.

Allegations raised in the instant petition is that private respondents No.4 to 13 are continuously harassing the petitioner by placing phone calls.

Counsel submits that the private respondents are a gang and who indulge in extortion. In para 3 of the writ petition the mobile number from which the phone call was placed and threat issued, has been mentioned.

It is against such backdrop that the instant writ petition has been filed seeking a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.3 i.e. SHO Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga,

confined to the aspect of threat that he faces to his life.

In the eventuality of any such application, being submitted, respondent No.3, would be obligated to look into the matter and thereafter to take steps as he may deem fit and as warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

July 24, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No