

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1781 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 05.07.2019**

**UT Chandigarh and others**

**.....Petitioners**

**versus**

**CAT Chandigarh and another**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM:Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma  
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu**

**Present:** Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**Rajiv Sharma, J**

Prayer in the instant Writ petition is for quashing impugned order dated 27.09.2017 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh whereby the learned Tribunal had set aside the order dated 20.10.2016 vide which the petitioner-Administration had denied extension of two years in service to respondent No.2 in addition to the extension of two years already granted to him.

Respondent No.2 had claimed extension/re-employment for two years being a teacher who had won "National Award".

During the pendency of the OA respondent No.2 had retired on 31.10.2016 after availing the extension of two years beyond 58 years as per Punjab Government Department of Finance letters dated 08.10.2012 and 20.09.2013 as adopted by the Chandigarh Administration, Department of

Finance vide letter dated 31.07.2013 and 19.12.2013.

The learned Tribunal allowed the OA. It held that respondent No.2 is entitled to further extension in service for the remaining period upto 31.10.2018 being a National Awardee teacher. The petitioner-Chandigarh Administration was directed to issue letter of re-employment for the remaining period within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order failing which respondent No.2 would be entitled to salary w.e.f. the date of the order.

In view of the fact even period of extension in service as directed the Tribunal expired on 31.10.2018 i.e. during the pendency of this petition, learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute that the present writ petition has become infructuous. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and this order be not treated as precedent.

Ordered accordingly.

The amount lying deposited with the Central Administrative Tribunal is ordered to be released in favour of respondent No.2 within one week from today.

**(RAJIV SHARMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

05.07.2019  
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No