

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP-19529-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.07.2019

Hemant Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

2. CWP-17767-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.07.2019

Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

3. CWP-24205-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.07.2019

Prince Navdeep Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s) in all the petitions.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The above noted three writ petitions are being disposed of by this single judgment as common questions of fact and law are involved therein.

Prayer in the instant petitions is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to issue appointment letters to the petitioners to the post of Intelligence Officer (in the rank of Sub Inspector) as per their respective ranks/merit positions.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that twenty vacancies for the post in question were ordered to be reserved by this Court in a batch of petitions, wherein, the lead case being ***R.K. Harshvir Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others***, bearing CWP No.13 of 2017, was decided on 06.06.2019. It is further submitted that the petitioners in the instant petitions could not be issued appointment letters after reserving of the aforesaid 20 vacancies as no post was available for them. Now, the said writ petition stands dismissed and the vacancies reserved in CWP-13-2017 and connected matters have become available.

Learned State counsel informs that only eleven vacancies have become available and there are certain other writ petitions still pending adjudication.

Heard.

In this view of the matter, the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of the changed circumstances and to pass appropriate orders as per law. The needful be done within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. In case, the grouse of the petitioners survives after the

consideration by the competent authority, the petitioner shall have the liberty either to revive the instant petition or file afresh on the same cause of action.

Disposed of.

Photocopy of this judgment be placed on the file(s) of the connected case(s).

19.07.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No