

239

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCOC-2586-2019
Date of decision: 19.09.2019

SUKHDEV SINGH AND OTHERS .. PETITIONERS

VERSUS

SHRI DINKAR GUPTA .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners No. 1 to 5 have received order deciding the representation. He states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition, however, seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against the order passed. He further submits that order with regard to petitioner No. 6, has not been passed.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Paramjit Singh, Sr. Asstt., submits that the claim of the petitioner No. 6 has been rejected, the order would be passed and communicated to him within two weeks from today.

Disposed of.

Needless to say that petitioner No. 6 would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

19th September, 2019
shabha(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*