

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17655-2018

Date of decision:-23.05.2019

Gurmeet Singh Dhillon

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Toor, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondents.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

Reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed today in Court and same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel opposite.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 & 3 to re-issue passport to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to re-issue the passport of the petitioner.

Heard.

As per communication dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure R1/1) sent to the petitioner, the petitioner was advised to apply afresh giving all the

details/particulars for release of his passport but the petitioner has not filed the necessary documents.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file afresh application alongwith necessary documents as required in pursuance of communication dated 23.10.2018 (Annexure R1/1) and respondent No.2 will examine the same and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment. However, in case the competent authority feels that the prayer made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.05.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No