

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 19374 of 2017
Date of decision : 24.09.2019**

Chattar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

None for respondent No. 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

On 07.02.2019, following order was passed :-

“Counsel for the petitioner makes a request that he be given time to file replication to the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents.

*Counsel for the respondents-State on the other hand states that the present case is squarely covered by a decision rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in **LPA No.1105 of 2017** titled as '**The State of Haryana and others Vs. Nathu Singh**, decided on **29.05.2018** and request that the present writ petition be dismissed.*

Counsel for the petitioner seeks time to go through the said judgment and address arguments.

Adjourned to 27.05.2019.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.”

Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the case of the similarly situated persons for grant of benefit of the service rendered by them in the HSMITC has already been declined by the Division Bench of this Court in *LPA No. 1105 of 2017* titled as *The State of Haryana and others Vs. Nathu Singh*, decided on 29.05.2018, which judgment has already attained finality upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Keeping in view the fact that the benefit to the similarly situated employees have already been declined by the Division Bench of this Court, petitioner is, prima-facie, not interested in pursuing the present writ petition any further.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

September 24, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*