

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(I) CWP No.27106 of 2013
Date of decision: 17.09.2019

Baljit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(II) CWP No.27657 of 2013
Date of decision: 17.09.2019

Satinder Nath ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. R.S.Dadwal, Advocate for Mr. Durga Dutt Sharma,
Advocate for the petitioner in both cases.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 to 8.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall decide CWP No.27106 of 2013 and CWP No.27657 of 2013, as point in issue in both the cases is similar. Facts of the case are being taken from CWP No.27106 of 2013.

2. Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing impugned proposed promotion list Annexure P/8 dated 18.11.2013 as also for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as per the provisions of the Haryana Veterinary Headquarters and Field (Group-C) Service Rules, 1999.

3. Learned Sr. DAG has placed on record copy of order dated 07.11.2017, passed by the Director General Animal Husbandry

& Dairying Department, Haryana, Panchkula, in the context of CWP Nos.27657/2013, 27106/2013 and 27355/2013, bearing Endst. No.37761-72/SE-III/3 dated 17.11.2017, cancelling the impugned proposed promotion list Annexure P/8 dated 18.11.2013. The aforementioned order is taken on record. Copy thereof handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the writ petitions and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for promotion to the post of drivers in accordance with the rules.

4. Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners and claim of the petitioners for promotion to the post of drivers would be considered along with all other eligible employees as per rules applicable as and when decision is taken to make promotions to the post of drivers.

5. In the light of statement of learned Sr. DAG that the claim of the petitioners for promotion to the post of drivers would be considered along with all other eligible employees as per rules applicable as and when decision is taken to make promotion's to the post of drivers, no orders are required to be passed in respect thereto. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn as above.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

17.09.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |