

(219) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22860 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.07.2019

Constable Sandeep Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. A.S. Ghuman, Advocate for
Mr. V.S. Dhakla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned Sr. DAG states that vide order dated 07.11.2016 departmental proceedings initiated against the petitioner were stayed as the petitioner was facing trial for offences under Sections 7/13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 but on acquittal of the petitioner in said case, order dated 07.11.2016 was vacated on 15.11.2018 and the department was given liberty to proceed with the enquiry and now the departmental enquiry has also been concluded. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances he does not press the writ petition.

[3] In the light of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.07.2019
amit

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.