

CR No.4626 of 2019
Date of Decision: 25.7.2019

Amit Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Seema

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

While praying for setting aside the order 4.2.2019 learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is already paying ₹ 6,000/- per month under Section 18 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 to the respondent-wife.

Heard.

Admittedly, the aforesaid amount is towards the rent. However, nothing is being paid towards the maintenance qua the daily requirement of the respondent-wife. There is also a minor daughter being looked after by the wife. The petitioner is also an educated person, who was working as a Sales Officer in a Finance Company.

The argument that now the petitioner is unemployed, does not help. The amount of ₹ 6,000/- amounts to just ₹ 200/- per day, which cannot be said to be on higher side.

Dismissed accordingly.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

25.7.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No