

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-30916-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.12.2019

Sanjeev Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Dharam Bir Bhargav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,
assisted by HC Sukhbir Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.155 dated 5.7.2019 at Police Station Saha, District Ambala under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Rubi, wherein it has been alleged that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner Sanjeev Kumar on 28.11.2017 and her parents had spent an amount of ₹10 lakhs on marriage and a large number of articles of dowry were given therein to the petitioner and to other members of his family but they were not happy with the same

and shortly after marriage started demanding more dowry. It is further alleged therein that she was harassed by the accused in order to press upon their demands of dowry and was also given beatings.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and that the entire allegations as levelled in the FIR have been fabricated simply in order to pressurize the petitioner and other members of his family.
4. Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and specific allegations have been levelled in the FIR, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has, however, been informed that the petitioner has since joined investigation.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and while bearing in mind that the matter has apparently arisen out of some kind of matrimonial discord and that the petitioner has already joined investigation, his custodial interrogation is not warranted. The petition, as such, is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 22.7.2019 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

11.12.2019

pankaj

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No