

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.9535 of 2019 and
CWP No.17361 of 2018
Date of Decision.08.07.2019**

Ram Chander Jangra ...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana ..Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in present application is to dispose of writ petition, though the main case is listed for 26.08.2019, in view of the fact that petitioner sought two-fold relief; one is for quashing of order dated 14/27.12.2017 (Annexure P-7) awarding punishment of stoppage of two annual increments without cumulative effect and order dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-9) whereby representation of petitioner has been rejected.

Mr. Malik, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Sandeep Dhull, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that during pendency of writ petition, respondents have withdrawn the punishment order and petitioner has been exonerated vide 7/12.6.2019 (Annexure P-18) and therefore, only cause which survives is consideration of his case for promotion, which is also second relief sought in the writ petition.

Notice in the application.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice for respondents.

Keeping in view these circumstances, application is allowed and I deem it appropriate to dispose of writ petition with direction to respondents to consider case of petitioner for promotion as Deputy District Education Officer with effect from the date his juniors have been promoted within a period of three months from the date of passing of this order, failing which respondents shall be liable to costs of ₹50,000/- to be paid to the petitioner from salary of concerned officer, who has to decide the representation.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 08, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No