

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(238)

CWP-22628-2016

Date of Decision: April 01, 2019

Ishwar Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

**Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University and another
.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S. Walia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, petitioner seeks directions to the respondent-University to calculate the pension of the petitioner after counting his service, for which the petitioner was paid out of the contingent service fund.

As per the averments made in the present writ petition, the petitioner was initially appointed in the year 1987 and he continued working under the contingent paid service till 21.03.1993. His services were regularized on 24.09.1993 and he ultimately retired on 30.06.2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states the service which the petitioner rendered from 01.01.1987 till 21.03.1993 has not been taken into consideration as a qualifying service for granting of the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide his representation dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure P-6).

Notice of motion, in the present writ petition, was issued on 03.11.2016. Till today, despite service, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent-University.

Under these circumstances, keeping in view the request made by counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is being disposed of on the following terms:

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 29.10.2015 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

April 01, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No