

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-30899 of 2019****Date of decision: August 22, 2019**

Jyoti

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.0143 dated 21.08.2018, under Sections 323, 380, 451, 506, 148 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

Allegations against the petitioner are that she abused and slapped the complainant as well as her husband. She also snatched ₹3500/- and a setup box of Dish TV from them.

On 22.07.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that dispute is between landlord and tenant.

Notice of motion for 22.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of

her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 22.07.2019 and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

August 22, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No