

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23510 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.09.2019

Balbir Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he is not challenging the re-fixation of his pensionary benefits in pursuance of which recovery has been ordered after retirement of the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner further states that recovery cannot be effected from the petitioner being a retiree keeping in view the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **State of Punjab & Others vs Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc (2014) 8 SCC 883.**

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that no recovery is being done from the petitioner keeping in view the judgement in **Rafiq Masih's** case (supra) and only re-fixation of his pensionary benefits has been done, which is not being challenged by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the statement made on behalf of the respondents that no recovery is to be done from the petitioner, in view of the judgment in **Rafiq Masih's** case (supra), he does not wish to press the present writ petition any further.

Disposed of as not pressed.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

10.09.2019

aarti

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No