

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18976 of 2017
Date of decision: 16.07.2019**

Ravinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagdeep S. Virk, Advocate for respondent No.5.

B.S. WALIA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 states that the claim of the petitioner of having been appointed by respondent No.5 is highly doubtful in view of there being cutting in the resolution Annexure P-1 and incorporation of the name of the petitioner therein and that in view of disputed questions of fact, the writ petition is not the appropriate remedy.

Faced with the aforementioned submission, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to take out proceedings before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

July 16, 2019

ps

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No