

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(229)

CWP-23476-2015

Date of Decision: September 16, 2019

Sham Lubhaya and ors

.. Petitioners

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The grievance as raised in the present writ petition is that the petitioners have not been granted the benefit of the revision of the pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.2006 keeping in view the cut off date fixed by the respondents-State while issuing the finance circular No.2/2014 dated 24.02.2014 as well in the finance circular No.23/2014 dated 13.10.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the controversy with regard to the finance circulars dated 24.02.2014 and 13.10.2014 in respect of the cut off date fixed therein, has already been decided by this Court while deciding *CWP No.25733 of 2012 titled as A.P. Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.10.2013* as well as *CWP No.2866 of 2014 titled as Karanvir Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 30.09.2016,* which judgments have already attained finality.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the above said decision.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that after the decision rendered by this Court in *A.P. Sharma's case (supra)* as well as in

Karanvir Singh's case (supra), the Government of Punjab had issued instructions for implementation of those judgments on 27.06.2017 and the instructions dated 27.06.2017 has also been adopted by the Punjab State Power Corporation to be implemented upon its employee and therefore, if the petitioners raise their claim for grant of any benefit under the judgments rendered by this Court in **A.P. Sharma's case (supra)** as well as in **Karanvir Singh's case (supra)**, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate orders will be passed on those claims.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will either submit a legal notice or a joint representation raising their claim for the benefits for which they are entitled under **A.P. Sharma's case (supra)** as well as in **Karanvir Singh's case (supra)**, to the respondent-Corporation within a period of two months from today and the respondents be directed to decide the same in accordance with law especially taking into consideration the judgments rendered by this Court in **A.P. Sharma's case (supra)** and **Karanvir Singh's case (supra)**.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the respondent-Corporation will pass appropriate speaking orders on the claim within a period of three months from the receipt of the same in whatever form they are received, and in case, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same will also be released to the petitioners within a period of two months thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel for the respondents, he does not want to press this writ petition any further.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of having been not pressed and the parties are directed to adhere to the statement as recorded above.

September 16, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No