

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17213-2018

Date of decision: 26.8.2019

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.KS Brar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab
assisted by SI Gurbachan Singh

Mr.RM Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos. 6,7, 9

Mr.Deshpreet Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos. 10 and 11

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

By way of the present petition under Articles 226/227, the petitioner is seeking issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 8.5.2018 (Annexure P-3) and to take action against the private respondents and also to get police protection for demarcation of the land between the petitioner and the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that the demarcation is to be carried out by the revenue authority, which could not be done in view of the apprehension of the breach of law and order. Moreover, in the reply dated 5.10.2018 filed by the State, it has been specifically mentioned that there is no apprehension to the life and liberty of

the petitioner and the answering respondents are ready to provide police protection to the revenue authority for conducting demarcation in case they approach the answering respondents.

In the circumstances, the State is directed to provide necessary police help as per law as and when the application by the petitioner is made in this behalf to the competent authority.

Disposed of accordingly.

26.8.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No