

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30910 of 2019**

**Date of decision: October 18, 2019**

Sahil Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.0081 dated 06.06.2019, under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Section 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2008 (for short 'IT Act') (wrongly mentioned at 66 IT Act in order dated 10.07.2019), registered at Police Station Division C, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate appears, files Power of Attorney on behalf of the complainant and the same is taken on record.

On 25.07.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“As per office report, notice could not be issued for want of process fee.*

*Let the needful be done within 2 days from today.*

*Adjourned to 26.09.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, keeping in view the allegation*

*against the petitioner, he is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Love Kumar, has apprised the Court that in terms of order dated 25.07.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 25.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 18, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No