

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23398-2015

Date of decision: 31.05.2019

Hari Singh & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Sanjay Kaushal, Sr.Advocate
with Mr.A.P.Setia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Chetan Mittal, Sr.Advocate
with Mr.Varun Issar, Advocate
Mr.Arun Gosain, Advocate, for UOI.

Ms.S.G.Randhawa, AAG, Punjab.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeks directions to appoint the District & Sessions Judge, Bathinda as an Arbitrator for adjudicating the claim of the petitioner.

The plea taken in the written statement filed by the respondents is that the petitioner or his predecessor-in-interest had entered into an agreement in Form-K under Rule 9(5)(i) of the Requisitioning & Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 and the said form had been duly attached as Annexure R-1/2.

This Court has dismissed a bunch of cases bearing CWP-12921, 12827, 12893, 13198, 13219, 13224, 13292, 13301, 13365, 14187, 17775, 19225, 19244, 19279, 19776, 19766, 19825 & 21124-2015 and CWP-24521-2017, yesterday, whereby the impugned order have been passed by the Special Land Acquisition Collector, Jalandhar, rejecting the claim for appointment of Arbitrator on the ground that the agreement had been entered into in Form-K.

Accordingly, for the reasons given in the said judgment, the present writ petition is also dismissed.

31.05.2019
Sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No