

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31099-2019

Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Aman

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has been in custody for about 08 months now, he having been named allegedly on the disclosure statement made by a co-accused, namely Vikas, he deserves to be admitted to bail.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that no prosecution witness, out of 15, has been examined so far.

That being so, the period of custody of the petitioner already being 08 months and the fact that the trial is likely to take long, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

September 11, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.