

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 30915 of 2019
Date of Decision:-21.11.2019**

Satnam Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.107 dated 30.5.2019, under Section 382 IPC, registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala. The petitioners apprehended their arrest at the hands of Police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 22.7.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the vehicle allegedly snatched from the complainant already stands recovered from the co-accused, who were arrested pursuant to the FIR No.107 dated 30.05.2019 under Section 382 IPC registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala, Punjab. He contends that the petitioners were not named in the FIR and were indicted by way of a supplementary statement of the complainant. According to him, custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be required.

Notice of motion for 21.11.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioners shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, they shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioners submitted themselves before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioners cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Roop Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Roop Singh further states that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.7.2019 is made absolute.

November 21, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No