

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2570 of 2019
Date of decision: 22.7.2019

Seema Singla

.. Petitioner

v.

Shekhar Vidyawati and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nafees Ahmed Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Shiv Parkash, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading that there is wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.1.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 680 of 2019. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3-Deputy Commissioner, Nuh to consider the reply dated 8.5.2018 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner before taking the final decision in the matter. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by

the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

As per the averments made in the petition, copy of the order was received by the respondents on 22.1.2019 but there is no proof of supply of certified copy of the order. Let atleast now certified copy be supplied to the respondents and in case of doing the needful, the respondents shall ensure compliance of the order dated 14.1.2019 within eight thereafter. In case of non-compliance, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

With the aforesaid observation, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22.7.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No