

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18711 of 2017

Date of decision: 03.07.2019

Ram Parshotam and others

.... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the present writ petition qua petitioner No.1 has already been withdrawn and in respect of petitioners No.2 and 3 respondents have stated in their written statement that they have passed an order on 18.06.2018 Annexure R/1 whereby, the claim of the petitioner No.2 & 3 have been accepted and consequently the benefits have been extended to them. Counsel for the petitioners states that she has not received any instructions from the petitioners that they have received any benefits as being mentioned by the respondents which they have extended to petitioners No.2 and 3 vide order dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure R/1).

Counsel for the respondents states that once an order giving benefits to the petitioners has already been passed, the benefits must have been extended and in case the benefits arising out of order dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure R/1) have not been extended so far, the same will be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order positively.

Counsel for the petitioners states that keeping in view the statement made by the counsel for the respondents, she does not press the present writ

petition any further.

The present writ petition is disposed of having not been pressed.

03.07.2019
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |