

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16930 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.07.2019**

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Case of petitioner is that by virtue of award of Labour Court dated 16.11.2011, petitioner has been reinstated but not paid wages on D.C. Rates.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana has drawn attention of this Court of Court to para 4 of preliminary submission of written statement saying that ₹326.82 per day, as per notification dated 15.5.2018 issued by Labour Commissioner, Haryana are being paid to petitioner, which is equivalent to D.C. Rates, thus, grievance of petitioner stands redressed.

I am of the view that once petitioner is being paid wages, which comes to amount prescribed under Minimum Wages Act and D.C. rates are also at par, there cannot be any grievance. In case there is any difference, respondents shall clear the same within a period of

two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 10, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No