

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19825 of 2019

Date of Decision: 22.07.2019.

Sukhjinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.Walia, J., (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has filed a representation Annexure P/5 dated 15.06.2019 and that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner and that the claim of the petitioner for being allowed to appear in the improvement examination would be sympathetically considered.

4. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/5 dated 15.06.2019, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months.

5. Writ petition is disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

22.07.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No