IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228) Crl. Misc. No.M-30924 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.11.2019

Tejinder Singh @ Teji …Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab …Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General Punjab.

***

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, firstly, the petitioner was admittedly not arrested at the spot, where intoxicant tables are alleged to have been recovered from his co-accused Parminder Singh @ Dolla, and that eventually the petitioner has been charged with the commission of an offence punishable under Section 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 and not Section 22 thereof. The petitioner is also stated to have been in custody for the past 8 months and no other criminal case is shown to be registered against him, as per the custody certificate filed in court today by the learned State counsel.

That being so, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and

VIKAS CHANDER
2019.11.07 16:52
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

05.11.2019 **(Amol Rattan Singh)**
vcgarg **Judge**

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No

VIKAS CHANDER
2019.11.07 16:52
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document