

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(227)

CWP-22039-2016

Date of Decision: August 19, 2019

Gaje Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order dated 25.10.2013 (Annexure P-11) by which a cut to the tune of 30% on the pension of the petitioner was imposed. Against the said order, petitioner filed a review, which was rejected by the same officer vide order dated 05.03.2014 (Annexure P-14), though the punishment was modified and reduced to 5% cut instead of 30%.

Before the arguments could be addressed, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file an appeal against the orders Annexures P-11 and P-14 before the appellate authority.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that as the petitioner was availing the remedy by way of present writ petition since the year 2016, the appeal, which petitioner intends to file against these orders might not be entertained on the basis of limitation/delay.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in case any appeal is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided on merits.

Keeping in view the statement given by learned counsel for the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file an appeal against the impugned orders.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

August 19, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No