

229+108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.22672-CII of 2019 ;
CM No.22866-CII of 2019 ;
CM No.22581-CII of 2019 in/and
COCP No.2566 of 2019
Date of Decision: 13.11.2019**

Jalandhar Wine Salesman and Labour Employees Union, Jalandhar

Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Kumar, Regional Commissioner, Employees Provident Fund
Organization, Bathinda and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate and
Ms. Raveena Rani, Advocate
for the applicants/respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

CM No.22672-CII of 2019

The application has been filed for placing on record reply
of respondent No.3.

The application is allowed, reply is taken on record
subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.22866-CII of 2019

The application has been filed for placing on record reply
of respondent No.1.

The application is allowed, reply is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.22581-CII of 2019

The application has been filed for placing on record reply of respondent No.2.

The application is allowed, reply is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case:

In view of reply filed and subsequent development that Regional Commissioners, Employees Provident Fund Organization at Bathinda, Ludhiana and Amritsar have taken necessary steps, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

November 13, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No