

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4503 of 2019
Date of Decision: 22.07.2019

PARAMJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

KULDEEP SINGH

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagraj Singh Khiva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

[1]. This revision petition has been preferred by the petitioners against the order dated 15.11.2018 passed by the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) Sardulgarh, vide which the Local Commissioner was appointed by the trial Court.

[2]. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[3]. In **Rajinder & Co. vs. Union of India and others, 2003(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 755**, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that the order granting permission to appoint Local Commissioner is not revisable. The aforesaid view was reiterated by this Court in **Harpal Singh vs. Harmohinder**

Singh and others, 2013(2) R.C.R. (Civil) 892 and in **CR No.7286 of 2016** titled **'Kishori Lal vs. Suresh Kumar and others'**, decided on 02.08.2018. By way of appointing a Local Commissioner, no substantial right of the parties has been decided by the trial Court.

[4]. In view of aforesaid legal position, there is no scope to interfere in this revision petition. This revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

July 22, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No