

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(262)

CWP-18342-2017

Date of Decision: February 13, 2019

S.K. Brutta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.S. Walia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the prayer which has been made by the petitioner is for the release of the leave encashment.

As per the settled principle of law settled by the Division Bench of this Court in case titled as **Management of S.D. Model Senior Secondary School & another Vs. District Judge-cum-Service Tribunal and another, 2014 (1) S.C.T. 652**, all the disputes between the employee and the management are to be adjudicated upon by the Educational Tribunal. The relevant paragraph of the judgment passed by the Division Bench is as under:-

“23. In view of the above discussion, we concluded as under:

(i) That an Educational Tribunal constituted in terms of the direction of the Supreme Court in T.M.A Pai Foundation's case (supra), will not have the jurisdiction to decide issue of payment of gratuity, as the same is payable to the teaching and non-teaching staff in terms of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.

(ii) In respect of second question, the notification of the State Government constituting Educational Tribunal will include all service disputes arising out of an order passed by the Management, as appealable to the Educational Tribunal. Such right to appeal is not arising in view of the judgment in T.M.A Pai Foundation's case (supra), but in exercise of the executive powers of the State.

(iii) The State Government shall consider appropriate amendments in the Haryana School Education Act, 1995 in the light of statement made by Mr. Poonia before this Court expeditiously.

(iv) Since the controversy regarding the Forum for adjudication of disputes relating to payment of gratuity has been settled now, it shall be open to the aggrieved persons to seek redressal under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 in accordance with law, if the same is availed within two months from today. The payment deposited by the petitioners shall be subject to the decision of the Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act.

Since the questions of law have been answered, the matter be placed before the learned Single Bench for appropriate decision.”

Keeping in view above, let the record of the present writ petition be sent to the Educational Tribunal for appropriate decision as the matter is within the jurisdiction of the said authority.

Counsel for the petitioners states that identical matters, which have been sent to the Educational Tribunal by this Court, have been fixed for 03.04.2019.

Parties are directed to appear before the Educational Tribunal on 03.04.2019.

In view of the above, present writ petition stands disposed of.

February 13, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No