

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30907-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.12.2019

Mukesh Sharma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Janya Sirohi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.128 dated 25.03.2019 under Sections 409, 420, 120-B IPC and Sections 7 & 13 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Kaithal City, District Kaithal.

Vide order dated 22.07.2019, notice of motion was issued and the petitioner was granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail, by passing the following order: -

“Learned senior counsel for the petitioners contended that similarly placed co-accused, namely Vikram Singh, Raj Kumar Sharma and Seema Kashyap have already been allowed to join the investigation and interim pre-arrest bail has also been granted to

them as per orders dated 10.05.2019 passed in CRM-M-16446-2019, dated 24.05.2019 passed in CRM-M-23967-2019 and dated 19.07.2019 passed in CRM-M-28815-2019 respectively and roles of the petitioners is identical. More so, petitioners are also ready to join the investigation...”

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Chander Bhan, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 22.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

18.12.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No