

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-21817-2016
Date of Decision: 11th December, 2019

Lachhman Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. G.L. Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the State submits that the honorarium has already been paid to the petitioner and he is getting the honorarium regularly, therefore, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is also entitled for the honorarium for the period when there was stay order passed by the Financial Commissioner, Punjab.

This prayer of the counsel for the petitioner is not acceptable as the petitioner was rightly denied the benefit of honorarium for the said period because of the operation of the order of stay passed by the Financial Commissioner, which fact is not disputed. No further relief cannot be granted to the petitioner.

The writ petition therefore stands disposed of in above terms.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

11th December, 2019
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No