

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.3316-CWP of 2019 in/and
CWP No.16521 of 2018**

Date of decision: 07.03.2019

Renu Punia

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate,
for applicant-petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

CM No.3316-CWP of 2019

Notice.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana
accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, main petition i.e.
CWP No.16521 of 2018 is preponed and taken up today itself for disposal.

Misc. application stands disposed of accordingly.

CWP No.16521 of 2018

Petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to appoint her as
Extension Lecturer in the subject of Chemistry.

Vide advertisement (Annexure P-1), candidates were called for
walk-in-interview for the post of Extension Lecturers for the academic
session 2017-2018. Pursuant to the said advertisement, petitioner
participated in the selection process. However, official respondents have

given appointments to respondent Nos.4 and 5 (non NET qualified).

Upon notice, reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1, 2 & 3 has been filed, wherein it has been stated that respondent No.4 was allowed to join on the post in view of the interim directions given by this Court in CWP No.23899 of 2017, titled as **Santosh and others vs. State of Haryana and others** (Annexure R-3) and respondent No.5 rejoined on her post in view of the order dated 23.08.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.17543 of 2017, titled as **Nishu and others vs. State of Haryana and others** (Annexure R-4). It has been further stated that respondent Nos.4 and 5 being ineligible were liable to be replaced by eligible lecturers in view of the order dated 29.07.2016 passed by this Court in **Rita Tandon vs. State of Haryana and others** and subsequent instructions issued by the department on 20.07.2017. It is further stated that on joining of four regular Assistant Professors in the subject of Chemistry, respondent No.4 was relieved from his duties. As far as respondent No.5 is concerned, though she has qualified the NET examination on 09.05.2018 and has become eligible, but she was relieved from duty due to non availability of workload in the college.

In the present case, petitioner was seeking appointment pursuant to advertisement (Annexure P-1) for the academic session 2017-2018, which has already come to an end. Respondent Nos.4 and 5 were appointed keeping in view the directions given by this Court in CWP No.23899 of 2017 (Annexure R-3) and CWP No.17543 of 2017 (Annexure R-4). Moreover, the petition filed by respondent No.4 has already been dismissed. The petitioner can have a case of getting appointed in the next academic session 2018-2019, only if, an advertisement, in this respect, is issued. At this stage, no case is made out to give appointment to the petitioner. It is

further observed that since the workload is not available, official respondents will not allow to rejoin respondent Nos. 4 and 5 in the college.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.03.2019
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No