

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 25928 OF 2013
DECIDED ON : 29.03.2019**

Harjinderpal Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

1. Claim in the present petition is for enhancement of age of superannuation from 58 years to 60 years, as per the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 7233 of 2010 decided on 25.05.2011 titled as, "Bhupinder Singh v. State of Punjab and others."

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that the said judgment relied upon by the petitioner is not applicable to the present case and the same is meant for State Government Employees, whereas the petitioner is an employee of PUDA, which is an autonomous statutory body, constituted under the Punjab Regional and Town Planning and Development Act, 1995 and the petitioner does not come within the definition of the term 'State Government Employee'.

3. Even otherwise, the petitioner, at the time of filing of the writ petition, was at the fag end of his career. On attaining the age of 58 years and during the pendency of writ petition, he retired way back on 30.04.2011. In view thereof, the relief sought by the petitioner is rendered infructuous by efflux of time.

4. In these circumstances, the petitioner seems to have lost interest in pursuing the present writ petition, as no one was present on his behalf on the last date of hearing and same is the position today.

7. The petition is, therefore, dismissed. No order as to costs.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

MARCH 29, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No