

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1812 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision.19.08.2019

Sumesh

...Petitioner

Vs

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Mr. Dahiya submits that challenge in writ petition was to suspension order dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-5), as respondent No.4 was not competent authority.

He pointed out that in view of stand of respondent No.1 vide Annexure R-1, suspension order of petitioner has been withdrawn, which reads as under:-

“It is hereby ordered that the suspension order of Sh. Somesh Hooda, Receptionist issued by the Assistant Manager, Gymkhana Club Sector 21C, Faridabad vide memo No.SPL-02 dated 09.01.2017 is hereby withdrawn and the services of Sh. Sumesh Hooda, Receptionist is hereby reinstated with immediate effect.”

In view of such circumstances, nothing survives for

consideration in present writ petition.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 19, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No