

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(122)

CWP-20728-2019

Date of Decision: July 29, 2019

Harbans Kaur and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that they should be allowed the benefit of revised option keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in **Jinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, 2019 (1) SCT 776.**

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the claim of the petitioners is that keeping in view the decision rendered by this Court in **Jinder Singh's case (supra)**, similarly situated employees have been allowed the benefit of afresh option, which is not being allowed to the petitioners on the ground that there are no orders of the competent Court of law in respect of them, allowing them the benefit of afresh option.

Present writ petition has been filed with a prayer that a direction be issued to the respondents to grant the petitioners the same benefit as being granted to the similarly situated employees while deciding **Jinder Singh's case (supra)**.

From the pleadings, it transpires that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-16) which is

still pending consideration with the respondents and the interest of justice will be served, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-16), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-16) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

July 29, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No