

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(233)

CWP-21595-2016

Date of Decision: July 11, 2019

Bhag Chand

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for
Ms. Abha Rathore, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The present writ petition was filed claiming the benefit of work charge service as a qualifying service for computing the pension and other pensionary benefits.

As per the facts mentioned in the writ petition, petitioner was appointed as a Beldar on work charge basis on 22.05.1979 and his services were regularized on 01.01.1987. He continued working as such till he attained the age of superannuation on 31.07.2014. The grievance which is being raised by the petitioner, in the present writ petition, is that his services from 22.05.1979 till 31.12.1986 has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for grant of pensionary benefits.

Upon notice of motion, the respondentgs have filed the reply and in the reply, the respondents have stated that total service of the petitioner has been taken into account for the grant of pensionary benefits starting from the year 1979 onwards.

Counsel for the petitioner had taken time on 31.08.2018 to seek instructions from the petitioner in this regard.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has not been able to contact the petitioner to verify as to whether, all the benefits have been paid to him or not as being stated by the respondents in the reply.

As counsel for the petitioner has not been able to controvert the said statement which has been made by the respondents in their reply that the benefits for which the petitioner was found entitled for, have already been paid and his work charge service have already been taken into account for computing the pensionary benefits, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court in the present writ petition.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner that in case he feels that any of the benefit for which he was entitled for, have not been released so far, he will be free to approach the respondents.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 11, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No