

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-21156-2019

Date of Decision: September 16, 2019

Dharmo Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the higher authorities as the Executive Engineer, without appreciating the correct facts of the present case has disallowed the benefits of ex-gratia by wrongly holding that the income of petitioner from all the sources is more than Rs.35,000/- per annum.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

September 16, 2019

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No