

121.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-453-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.07.2019

PARVEEN KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

VANDANA @ SUMAN

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Puneet Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition impugning the order dated 04.06.2018 passed by learned District Judge (Additional Family Court), Karnal, whereby on an application filed by the respondent-wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the learned Family Court has awarded maintenance @ Rs.4,000/- per month to the respondent.

As per the averments made in the petition, the petitioner is having a private job and running his business under the name and style of Radhy Radhy Body Maker and maintaining a spare-parts shop adjoining to it. Even if the case of the petitioner is accepted that he is working as a labourer, this Court finds that even the minimum wages as per the government circular is more than Rs.10,000/- per month, but still the Family Court has considered the salary of the petitioner as Rs.10,000/- per month and accordingly, awarded maintenance @ Rs.4,000/- per month to the wife.

This Court finds that the awarded maintenance is certainly not on higher side as the petitioner has been made to pay only Rs.4,000/- per month to the wife from the date of application.

Moreover, the present petition has been filed with a delay of 320 days.

This Court does not find any sufficient cause to condone the delay.

Accordingly, the present petition as well as the application i.e. CRM-21832-2019 seeking condonation of delay, are dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

22.07.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No