

REKHA

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.S.Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that all the benefits, for which the petitioner is entitled in respect of the injuries suffered by her late husband, has not been extended to her. The claim of the petitioner for ex-gratia has been declined vide Annexure P-6 dated 11.01.2019, whereby it has been brought to the notice of the petitioner that the amount of Rs.3,00,000/- paid to the petitioner was on behalf of the Nigam only and there is no other additional compensation or amount for which the petitioner is entitled for.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the said order which has been passed by the the Executive Engineer is contrary to the instructions.

On being pointed out that the petitioner has a remedy to approach the higher authorities in case, he has the grievance in respect of the impugned order Annexure P-6 dated 11.01.2019, counsel for the

petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the higher authorities for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

25.07.2019
aarti

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No*