

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1322-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 24.07.2019

Kusum Lata

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate,
for the appellant.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This intra court appeal under clause X of the Letters Patent is directed against the judgement and order dated 30.05.2019 passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed by the petitioner (appellant herein) seeking quashing of the order dated 29.04.2019, whereby her claim to rejoin as Assistant Professor/Extension Lecturer (Computer Instructor) in the Government College, Kosli, District Rewari was rejected.

2. Undisputed facts are that the appellant was given appointment on contract basis in the College in question on 10.06.2014 and had worked only upto 10.11.2014. Thereafter, her appointment was terminated. After a period of more than three years and eight months, she served a legal notice upon the respondents seeking her reappointment on the basis of some policy guidelines. The respondent-authorities rejected her claim which was challenged in the writ petition. Learned Single Judge dismissed the writ petition on the ground that the order is not liable to be interfered with simply on the ground that

she left the job in 2014 and after more than five years, she cannot be taken back. The learned Single Judge has also taken note of the fact that the appellant left the College herself in November, 2014.

3. The policy guidelines themselves provide that if any employee remains himself or herself willfully absent for more than two consecutive working days, then it would entail termination of contract and next person in panel would be offered the assignment. In the case in hand, since the appellant remained absent for more than three years and eight months, hence she cannot claim any such right for continuing in the employment. We, thus, do not find any illegality in the judgement of the learned Single Judge, which may require our interference.

4. The appeal is devoid of merits and accordingly stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

24.07.2019
Amodh

whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
whether reportable	Yes/No