

N THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

115

CRM-M-31694 of 2019

Date of decision:25.7.2019

Hemant Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.G.S.Jagpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case or otherwise, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, i.e. respondent no.2, to hear the petitioner qua his grievance and to take action, if any is warranted as per law, learned counsel for the petitioner having made a specific argument that as a matter of fact the person who has allegedly enticed the wife of the petitioner away, i.e. respondent no.5, is a part of the gang that is indulging in these kind of activities in order to rob families/persons of jewellery etc., the petitioners' contention also being that his wife left home on 12.6.2018 along with jewellery etc.

It is again made absolutely clear that this Court has made no comment whatsoever on the truth of the aforesaid allegation or otherwise, with the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to take action after perusing the judgment of the Supreme Court in Joseph Shine vs. Union of India, 2018 (4) RCR (Criminal) 480.

However, it is also made equally clear that if, of course, there is

any such operation suspected by the Commissioner of Police, of any gang of persons/a person duping people in the manner as is alleged, naturally action as per law would be taken thereon.

25.7.2019
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No