

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

103

CRM-M-30406 of 2019

Date of decision:6.11.2019

Ajay Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Ms.Karamjit Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr.Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner
Mr.Pardeep Sharma, AAG, Haryana
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 4.11.2019, the following order had been passed:-

“By this petition, the petitioner was seeking anticipatory bail after FIR no.2 had been registered against him on 4.1.2019, at Police Station Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 7-A and 10 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975, with Section 420 of the IPC added later on.

On 19.7.2019, upon counsel for the petitioner submitting that, as a matter of fact, actually no land had been sold by the petitioner, who was simply the attorney of the prime accused, Mohit, notice of motion had been issued by this Court but without any interim protection granted to the petitioner at that stage.

Thereafter, the Bar having abstained from work for the next two dates, none had appeared for the petitioner, with the matter eventually taken up on 13.9.2019, with learned counsel for the petitioner having sought time to argue the matter even on that date.

It was consequently adjourned to 26.9.2019, with it made clear that no interim order had been passed in favour of the petitioner.

On 26.9.2019, the turn of the case having come up after 4.00 pm, a request for an adjournment had been made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner, i.e. Mr.Yash Dev Kaushik.

On the next date of hearing, i.e. 22.10.2019, none had appeared for the petitioner, but learned State counsel had submitted that the petitioner had not been arrested, with the petition therefore adjourned till today.

Today, lawyers are again abstaining from work, but Sub Inspector Ashwani Kumar from Police Station Sadar Ballabhgarh, is present in Court and states that as a matter of fact the petitioner has been arrested.

That being so, the petition has obviously been rendered infructuous, but simply because the Bar is abstaining from work, adjourned to 6.11.2019.”

Today, Ms.Karamjit Kaur appearing for Mr.Yash Dev Kaushik, counsel for the petitioner, does not deny that the petitioner has been arrested, thereby rendering this petition infructuous, and in fact she wishes

to withdraw this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

6.11.2019
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No