

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(220)

CWP-22242-2015

Date of Decision: November 16, 2019

Bikkar Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Registrar Cooperative Societies, Haryana & others

.. Respondents

(2)

CWP-5701-2018

Kulwant Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Registrar Cooperative Societies, Haryana & others

.. Respondents

(223)

CWP-25159-2015

Bhupinder Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.. Respondents

(223-A)

CWP-4678-2017

Sh. Bikar Singh and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravi Sodhi, Advocate, and
Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner
in all the writ petitions.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Vineet, Advocate, for respondent No.4
(in CWP Nos. 22242 of 2015 and 5701 of 2018).

Mr. C.P. Tiwana, Advocate, for respondent No.5
(in CWP Nos. 22242 of 2015 and 5701 of 2018).

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate, for respondent No.3
(in CWP Nos.25159 of 2015 and 4678 of 2017).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

By this common order, four writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the writ petitions involve the same question of law and similar facts.

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that respondent No.5, namely, Primary Agricultural Cooperative Society, has declined the benefit of regularization of the service of the petitioner(s) and regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of appointment and also to the direction of the bank for discharging the duties of the post of Parbandhak.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents raise a preliminary objection with regard to the maintainability of the present writ petition as respondent No.5, Society is neither funded by the Government nor is being administered by the Government in any way and therefore, keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **General Manager, Kisan Sahkari Chini Mills Ltd, Sultanpur, U.P Vs. Satrughan Nishad and others, 2003 (8) SCC 639,** the writ petition is not maintainable against respondent No.5-Cooperative Society.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that petitioner be allowed to withdraw the present writ petitions with liberty to approach the Registrar, Cooperative Societies under the provision of the Haryana Cooperative Societies Act, 1984 for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner as raised in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondents very farily states that in case the petitioner approaches the Registrar, Cooperative Societies raising any grievance, the same will be decided on merits by passing an appropriate

speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, petitioner be allowed to approach respondent No.1 for the redressal of his grievance.

In view of the above, all the writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

November 16, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No